

**Creation of Central Law Enforcement Agency (CLEA)**

**\*150. SHRI P. PRABHAKAR REDDY:** Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a proposal is afoot to create a Central Law Enforcement Agency (CLEA) in the near future;
- (b) if so, the details thereof including the composition, functions and the tenure of the CLEA;
- (c) whether the viewpoints of the different political parties and the various State Governments have been elicited with regard to this proposal and if not, the reasons therefor; and
- (d) by when, the agency is likely to be put in place?

**THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI CHENNAMANENI VIDYASAGAR RAO):** (a) to (c) The Government of India have under examination the issue of setting up of a federal agency to deal with certain specified offences, which have inter-State and/or nationwide ramifications. The proposal has been discussed at different fora with the State Governments. This has been opposed by some States, on the ground that this could impinge on their sphere of responsibilities as maintenance of law and order is a State subject.

(d) The proposal is at a conceptual stage. Given the legal, constitutional and administrative aspects involved, it would be difficult to indicate a time frame for a final decision on this proposal.

**Funds for Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana in Kerala**

**\*151. SHRI A. VIJAYA RAGHAVAN:** Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of Kerala has submitted any representation seeking more funds for 'Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana' in the State;
- (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the details regarding the State-wise allotment of funds for the abovesaid programme?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU): (a) to (c) The Government of Kerala sought an allocation of at least Rs. 150 crores for the year, 2001-02 and of Rs. 600 crore for the year, 2002-03 under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) on grounds, *inter alia*, of the State's share in the Diesel Cess and the need to provide all-weather road connectivity to a number of rural settlements, including desoms, karas and sub-desoms.

Since the funds available for the PMGSY for the year, 2001-02 are at last year's level, the State-wise and Union Territory-wise allocations (made by the Planning Commission for the year, 2000-01) have been maintained for the current financial year (2001-02). The State-wise/ Union Territory-wise allocation of funds is enclosed in the Statement. (See below). Any additonal allocations in the coming years would depend on the availability of requisite financial resources and the capacity of the State and Union Territories to effectively utilise the same.

#### Statement

*Allocation of funds to States/Union Territories under PMGSY for the year 2001-02*

		(Rs. in crore)
S. No.	States/UTs	Allocation
1	2	3
1.	Andhra Pradesh	190.00
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	35.00
3.	Assam	75.00
4.	Bihar	150.00
5.	Chattisgarh	87.00
6.	Goa	5.00
7.	Gujarat	50.00

RAJYA SABHA [28 November 2001]

1	2	3
8.	<b>Haryana</b>	20.00
9.	<b>Himachal Pradesh</b>	60.00
10.	<b>Jammu and Kashmir</b>	20.00
11.	<b>Jharkhand</b>	110.00
12.	<b>Karnataka</b>	95.00
13.	<b>Kerala</b>	20.00
14.	<b>Madhya Pradesh</b>	213.00
15.	<b>Maharashtra</b>	130.00
16.	<b>Manipur</b>	40.00
17.	<b>Meghalaya</b>	35.00
18.	<b>Mizoram</b>	20.00
19.	<b>Nagaland</b>	20.00
20.	<b>Orissa</b>	175.00
21.	<b>Punjab</b>	25.00
22.	<b>Rajasthan</b>	130.00
23.	<b>Sikkim</b>	20.00
24.	<b>Tamil Nadu</b>	80.00
25.	<b>Tripura</b>	25.00
26.	<b>Uttar Pradesh</b>	315.00
27.	<b>Uttaranchal</b>	60.00
28.	<b>West Bengal</b>	135.00
29.	<b>A and N Islands</b>	10.00
30.	<b>Dadra and Nagar Haveli</b>	5.00
31.	<b>Daman and Diu</b>	5.00
32.	<b>Lakshadweep</b>	5.00
33.	<b>Pondicherry</b>	5.00
<b>TOTAL :</b>		<b>2370.00</b>